

## Drone Data Collection 2023: Big Lorraine Technical Report

#### Prepared by

Nathan Crowell, M.Sc. Research Specialist, NSCC Applied Geomatics Research Group Tel. 902 824 3953 Email: <u>Nathan.Crowell@nscc.ca</u>

Submitted to

James Dyson, P.Eng. HVDC Engineer, Emera Newfoundland & Labrador Tel. 902 222 1445 Email: <u>James.Dyson@emera.com</u>

January 31, 2024





#### How to cite this work and report:

Crowell, Nathan. 2023. Drone Data Collection 2023: Big Lorraine Technical Report, Applied Geomatics Research Group, NSCC Middleton, NS.

#### Copyright and Acknowledgement

The final report and all materials shall be owned by the Government of Nova Scotia. The Applied Geomatics Research Group of the Nova Scotia Community College and the Principal Investigator(s) shall own the project intellectual property (IP) for research and educational purposes, subject to confidentiality requirements. The end user may make unlimited copies of the data for internal use; derive products from the data, release graphics and hardcopy with the copyright acknowledgement of **"Data acquired and processed by the Applied Geomatics Research Group, NSCC"**. Data acquired using this technology and the intellectual property (IP) associated with processing these data are owned by the Government of Nova Scotia and NSCC-AGRG and data will not be shared without permission of the owners.

#### **Executive Summary**

The Nova Scotia Community College Applied Geomatics Research Group (NSCC-AGRG) was contracted by Emera Newfoundland and Labrador to collect airborne imagery using drones to monitor the position of a critical breakwater at the Big Lorraine, NS grounding site. Drone survey data were successfully collected on October 14<sup>th</sup>, 2023. Quality assurance and control measures have validated that the collected data meet or exceed all project specifications and were accurate to +-5 cm. Survey results were compared against positions collected by NSCC in August of 2022 to confirm that the breakwater position had remained consistent between surveys. A major storm event, hurricane Fiona, occurred between the two survey dates. While no signs of deformation were observed along the crest of the breakwater, large boulders were shifted, and a significant volume of material was deposited inland at the beach located east of the breakwater. It is possible that marine water was routed inside the control structure at the north tip of the east wall. If incursion is to be prevented, it is advised that the eastern breakwater be extended to the access road.

### **Table of Contents**

Exec	utive Summary	ii
Table	e of Contents	iii
Table	e of Figures	iv
1	Introduction	1
2	Data Collection and Processing	1
3	Results	2
4	Discussion	8

Drone Data Collection 2023: Big Lorraine Technical Report

## **Table of Figures**

Figure 1. Big Lorraine grounding site photo mosaic demonstrating excellent horizontal alignment over control targets 2
Figure 2. Colour graduated elevation model of the Big Lorraine site survey showing elevations along the extent of the
breakwater structure and agreement with established checkpoints3
Figure 3. Comparison of 2022 and 2023 survey elevations. Negative values (red scale) denote a loss of material
Figure 4. Close comparison of elevation changes between 2022 and 2023 demonstrates shifts in boulder positions
localized primarily on the ocean-side of the breakwater crest.
Figure 5. Imagery of highly vegetated beach material east of the Big Lorraine breakwater prior to hurraine Fiona6
Figure 6. Imagery of raised inland beach area void of vegetation east of the Big Lorraine breakwater post hurraine Fiona.
7
Figure 7. Colour shaded relief model of the beach elevations in 2022 highlighting the lowest portion of the protective
breakwater9
Figure 8. Colour shaded relief model of the beach elevations in 2023 highlighting a loss of material in the channel located
at the northern tip of the breakwater

### **1** Introduction

The Nova Scotia Community College – Applied geomatics Research Group (NSCC-AGRG) was contracted to conduct regular drone survey activities over Emera Newfoundland and Labrador sites including the Big Lorraine grounding site in Nova Scotia, Canada. In 2019 NSCC-AGRG agreed to provide Emera Newfoundland and Labrador with a baseline position of engineered breakwaters designed to protect grounding site infrastructure. A baseline was established in 2020 with an accuracy of +- 0.05 m suitable to monitor future movement of the breakwater. Repeat surveys were conducted in 2021 and 2022 to ensure there was no significant movement of breakwater materials. In 2023 NSCC-AGRG continued the monitoring program by resurveyed the area to produce products of similar accuracy.

### 2 Data Collection and Processing

Drone data were successfully collected on October 14, 2023. While on site, NSCC-AGRG established GNSS checkpoints using Propeller Aeropoint smart targets designed to provide optimal quality assurance metrics for aerial drone surveys. Checkpoint locations were calculated to have an average vertical variance of +- 0.0036 m with a maximum target variance of 0.0097 m (Appendix A). These points coincided with target centers used to check photo positions during the data quality assessment phase.

NSCC-AGRG collected aerial imagery using a DJI Matrice 300 RTK equipped with a differential GNSS survey grade receiver. Flights were planned at above ground altitudes which yielded imagery with a < 0.02 m ground pixel resolution with an image overlap of >=70% along and across flight lines. Lines were planned in an East-West orientation, followed by a North-South orientation to ensure all surfaces were captured in several overlapping images at varying angles. Images were processed using Agisoft Metashape to produce elevation models and photo mosaics. With accurate positioning established, the model was used to generate a dense point cloud (LAS; ~464 M points), a digital elevation model, and an ortho mosaic (Appendix B). Raster data were processed at a native cell resolution of 0.0183 m and down sampled to 0.02 m for ease of delivery.

NSCC-AGRG has agreed to persist a copy of Emera Newfoundland and Labrador's survey data on their secured central server. This persistence will ensure that additional copies in varying formats and datums can be requested as required. For delivery, map data have been projected to the Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 21 North, following the North American Datum of 1983 Canadian Spatial Reference System Version 7 horizontal coordinate system, and the Canadian Geodetic Vertical Datum of 2013 vertical coordinate system (prjUTM21N\_hcsNAD83CSRSv7\_vcsCGVD2013).

### **3** Results

Image mosaics were found to be of acceptable quality. Shadowing was observed within the site due to the sunrise, but all imagery was found to be suitable for positioning and survey products were not impacted negatively (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Big Lorraine grounding site photo mosaic demonstrating excellent horizontal alignment over control targets.

A digital surface model was generated by binning dense cloud elevation data at a resolution of 0.02 m. The resulting elevation model ranged from -1.0 m offshore to >10.0 m in elevated area north of the breakwater (Figure 2). Rasterized elevation data were validated using the GNSS control points. Elevation residuals were computed by subtracting the RPAS model elevations from the GNSS checkpoint elevations. Residuals ranged from -0.017 m to 0.042 m with a mean of 0.031 m and standard deviation of 0.008 m (Figure 2). Quality assurance and control measures validated that the collected data exceeded project specifications.



Figure 2. Colour graduated elevation model of the Big Lorraine site survey showing elevations along the extent of the breakwater structure and agreement with established checkpoints.

A baseline position of the Big Lorraine breakwater was successfully established during the 2022 survey. 2023 survey elevations were produced and assessed to determine if the breakwater position had changed between 2022 and 2023. Direct comparison of accurately georeferenced elevations demonstrated that no significant portion of the breakwater had shifted more than 10 cm between 2022 and 2023 (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Comparison of 2022 and 2023 survey elevations. Negative values (red scale) denote a loss of material.

Large boulders were observed to have shifted between the 2022 and 2023 surveys. These shifts occurred primarily on the south corner of the breakwater with other scattered movements observed on the southeast wall, oceanward of the crest (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Close comparison of elevation changes between 2022 and 2023 demonstrates shifts in boulder positions localized primarily on the oceanward of the breakwater crest.

A significant change in beach morphology was observed east of the breakwater where a large volume of material was pushed inland between August 31, 2022 (Figure 5) and October 14, 2023 (Figure 6). It is likely that these significant movements were a result of hurricane Fiona which occurred in late September of 2022.



Figure 5. Imagery of highly vegetated beach material east of the Big Lorraine breakwater prior to hurraine Fiona.



Figure 6. Imagery of raised inland beach area void of vegetation east of the Big Lorraine breakwater post hurraine Fiona.

#### **4** Discussion

No significant elevation changes of the breakwater crest were observed between 2022 and 2023. Elevation differences were observed for individual boulders primarily located on the oceanward of the breakwater, and a large portion of the beach east of the breakwater. It is possible that water was routed behind the breakwater at the lowest points on the north corner of the east wall. In 2022, this unfortified area contained a natural high point > 4 m in elevation with lower sections located to the north and south at elevations of 3.0 - 3.5 m and 3.5 - 4.0 m respectively (Figure 7). In 2023, material loss was measured in the low section on the northern tip of the east wall, south of the natural high point, where the elevation was lowered by roughly 0.8 m. The material may have been removed by water entering the controlled area during the event that caused the beach movement, likely Fiona (Figure 8). Additional protection may be required in the low sections identified north of the breakwater to prevent incursion of water during storm events.



Figure 7. Colour shaded relief model of the beach elevations in 2022 highlighting the lowest portion of the protective breakwater.



Figure 8. Colour shaded relief model of the beach elevations in 2023 highlighting a loss of material in the channel located at the northern tip of the breakwater.

# **propeller** aeropoints

## **Ground Control Report**

Cape Breton, NS



Survey IDas395b6a75Aeropoint Set7Date captured14 Oct 2023 7:32 AM ADTPoints captured8Processing methodPropeller network correctionDocument generated17 Oct 2023 4:34 PM ADT



Point Number	1	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:32 AM ADT
Global ID	acbddc05e5	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:32 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7283903	Duration	1:00
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:15 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92792332° (45° 55' 40.52396" N
Longitude	-59.91863469° (59° 55' 7.08488" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-6.748 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273713.152 m
Northing	5090181.978 m

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 4.86 m

Data points	360
Points used	305 (84.7%)
Baseline distance	0.07 km
Data variance	0.4 mm / 0.1 mm / 0.2 mm



Point Number	2	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:32 AM ADT
Global ID	aca1c505e8	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:32 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7284369	Duration	0:59
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:15 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92770252° (45° 55' 39.72907" N)
Longitude	-59.91840798° (59° 55' 6.26873" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-8.286 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273729.83 m
Northing	5090156.803 m

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 3.323 m

Data points	358
Points used	308 (86.0%)
Baseline distance	0.08 km
Data variance	0.4 mm / 0.1 mm / 1.4 mm



Point Number	3	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:34 AM ADT
Global ID	acf5d8b7e9	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:33 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7286502	Duration	0:59
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:15 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.9272694° (45° 55' 38.16983" N)
Longitude	-59.91774978° (59° 55' 3.89922" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-8.498 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273779.098 m
Northing	5090106.814 m

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 3.111 m

Data points	355
Points used	314 (88.5%)
Baseline distance	0.12 km
Data variance	3.4 mm / 2.2 mm / 8.0 mm



Point Number	4	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:35 AM ADT
Global ID	ac7c5d008c	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:34 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7286423	Duration	0:59
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:14 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92738704° (45° 55' 38.59333" N)
Longitude	-59.91752578° (59° 55' 3.09281" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-9.014 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273796.944 m
Northing	5090119.248 m

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 2.594 m

Data points	357
Points used	320 (89.6%)
Baseline distance	0.11 km
Data variance	5.2 mm / 1.1 mm / 9.7 mm



Point Number	5	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:36 AM ADT
Global ID	ac6666547c	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:35 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7287239	Duration	0:59
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:15 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92759061° (45° 55' 39.32620" N)
Longitude	-59.91726799° (59° 55' 2.16477" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-8.934 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273817.759 m
Northing	5090141.134 m

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 2.674 m

Data points	353
Points used	322 (91.2%)
Baseline distance	0.10 km
Data variance	0.7 mm / 0.1 mm / 0.3 mm



Point Number	6	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:37 AM ADT
Global ID	ac5ee92ec8	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:36 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7284587	Duration	0:59
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:14 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92795612° (45° 55' 40.64202" N)
Longitude	-59.91737249° (59° 55' 2.54097" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-10.387 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273811.143 m	
Northing	5090182.038 m	

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 1.22 m

Data points	354
Points used	329 (92.9%)
Baseline distance	0.07 km
Data variance	0.2 mm / 0.2 mm / 1.9 mm



Point Number	7	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:38 AM ADT
Global ID	ac3e22705e	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:36 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7287283	Duration	0:58
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:14 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92787345° (45° 55' 40.34442" N)
Longitude	-59.91776424° (59° 55' 3.95126" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-9.936 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273780.435 m	
Northing	5090173.966 m	

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 1.672 m

Data points	349
Points used	332 (95.1%)
Baseline distance	0.06 km
Data variance	0.0 mm / 0.0 mm / 0.4 mm



Point Number	8	Capture start	14 Oct 2023 7:41 AM ADT
Global ID	accf83b09e	Capture end	14 Oct 2023 8:37 AM ADT
AeroPoint ID	7284730	Duration	0:56
		Uploaded	14 Oct 2023 12:13 PM ADT

#### NAD83(CSRS)

Latitude	45.92834991° (45° 55' 42.05967" N)
Longitude	-59.91801853° (59° 55' 4.86670" W)
Ellipsoid height (NAD83(CSRS))	-6.569 m

#### NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N

Easting	273762.659 m	
Northing	5090227.623 m	

#### CGVD2013 height

Height 5.038 m

Data points	341
Points used	340 (99.7%)
Baseline distance	6.41 km
Data variance	5.5 mm / 2.0 mm / 6.7 mm

## Appendix B 2023 Big Lorraine Drone Survey

DJI Matrice 300 RTK L1 RGB Processing Report 22 January 2024



## Survey Data



Fig. 1. Camera locations and image overlap.

Number of images:	749	Camera stations:	657
Flying altitude:	73.8 m	Tie points:	2,047,436
Ground resolution:	1.83 cm/pix	Projections:	7,071,586
Coverage area:	0.215 km²	Reprojection error:	1.12 pix

Camera Model	Resolution	Focal Length	Pixel Size	Precalibrated
EP800 (8.8mm)	5472 x 3648	8.8 mm	2.41 x 2.41 µm	Yes

Table 1. Cameras.

## **Camera Calibration**



Fig. 2. Image residuals for EP800 (8.8mm).

### EP800 (8.8mm)

749 images, precalibrated, additional corrections

Туре	Resolution	Focal Length	Pixel Size
Frame	5472 x 3648	8.8 mm	2.41 x 2.41 μm
F:	3688.87		
Cx:	-25.4144	B1:	0
Cy:	-30.9689	B2:	0
К1:	-0.0186257	P1:	-0.00190621
К2:	0.0244866	P2:	-0.00385085
КЗ:	-0.0168014	P3:	0
K4:	0	P4:	0
Fixed parameters: All			

## **Camera Locations**



Fig. 3. Camera locations and error estimates. Z error is represented by ellipse color. X,Y errors are represented by ellipse shape. Estimated camera locations are marked with a black dot.

X error (cm)	Y error (cm)	Z error (cm)	XY error (cm)	Total error (cm)
0.593715	1.07684	0.658133	1.22967	1.39471

Table 2. Average camera location error.

X - Easting, Y - Northing, Z - Altitude.

## **Digital Elevation Model**



Fig. 4. Reconstructed digital elevation model.

Resolution:1.83 cm/pixPoint density:0.3 points/cm²

## **Processing Parameters**

#### General

Cameras Aligned cameras Markers Coordinate system Rotation angles **Point Cloud** Points RMS reprojection error Max reprojection error Mean key point size Point colors Key points Average tie point multiplicity **Alignment parameters** Accuracy Generic preselection Reference preselection Key point limit Key point limit per Mpx Tie point limit Exclude stationary tie points Guided image matching Adaptive camera model fitting Matching time Matching memory usage Alignment time Alignment memory usage Date created Software version File size **Depth Maps** Count Depth maps generation parameters Quality Filtering mode Max neighbors Processing time Memory usage Date created Software version File size **Dense Point Cloud** Points Point colors Depth maps generation parameters Quality Filtering mode Max neighbors Processing time

749 657 55 NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N + CGVD2013 height (EPSG::6664) Yaw, Pitch, Roll 2,047,436 of 2,664,716 0.175459 (1.11845 pix) 0.530438 (50.9913 pix) 5.25402 pix 3 bands, uint8 No 3.45612 High Yes Source 80,000 1,000 0 No No No 5 minutes 51 seconds 9.78 GB 36 minutes 31 seconds 2.77 GB 2024:01:21 19:03:27 1.8.3.14331 195.11 MB 344 Ultra High Mild 16 1 hours 47 minutes 14.54 GB 2024:01:22 04:14:05 1.8.3.14331 6.24 GB 464,158,692 3 bands, uint8 Ultra High Mild 16 1 hours 47 minutes

Memory usage

#### Dense cloud generation parameters

Processing time Memory usage Date created Software version File size

#### DEM

Size Coordinate system

#### **Reconstruction parameters**

Source data Interpolation Processing time Memory usage Date created Software version File size

#### Orthomosaic

Size Coordinate system Colors

#### **Reconstruction parameters**

Blending mode Surface Enable hole filling Enable ghosting filter Processing time Memory usage Date created Software version File size **System** Software name Software version OS RAM

CPU GPU(s)

#### 14.54 GB

2 hours 57 minutes 35.87 GB 2024:01:22 07:11:39 1.8.3.14331 6.51 GB

50,190 x 48,865 NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N + CGVD2013 height (EPSG::6664)

Dense cloud Extrapolated 6 minutes 49 seconds 396.81 MB 2024:01:22 17:30:36 1.8.3.14331 5.44 GB

33,791 x 32,767 NAD83(CSRS) / UTM zone 21N + CGVD2013 height (EPSG::6664) 3 bands, uint8

Mosaic DEM Yes No 18 minutes 21 seconds 2.42 GB 2024:01:22 17:53:59 1.8.3.14331 9.93 GB

Agisoft Metashape Professional 1.8.3 build 14331 Windows 64 bit 127.73 GB 12th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i9-12900K NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3090